

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 19 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1 and 16 – 18 will have been amended for consideration in the concurrently submitted Request for Continued Examination. In view of the previous remarks and the present amendment, Applicant submits that all of the claims are patentably distinct from the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance.

Telephone Interview with Examiner Nahar

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the courtesy extended to his representative by Examiner Nahar in a telephone conversation of March 22, 2006. In the telephone interview, Applicant's representative indicated that the claims would be amended to even more clearly define the subject matter of the invention.

Accordingly, independent claims 1 and 16 – 18 have been amended.

Application is Allowable

In addition to claims 1 and 16 – 18 now defining over the applied art of record, Applicant submits that, as the applied art fails to teach or suggest one or more insert statements having one or more state statements, where the state statements are nested insert statements, independent claim 14 is defined over the art of record, and as the applied art fails to teach or suggest the content source object is an HTML source program file, independent claim 19 is defined over the art of record. Accordingly, Applicant submits that all pending claims are allowable.

Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that each and every pending claim of the present invention meets the requirements for patentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and

103, and respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate allowance of each and every pending claim of the present invention.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that none of the references of record, either taken alone or in any proper combination thereof, anticipate or render obvious the Applicant's invention, as recited in each of claims 1 – 19.

Further, any amendments to the claims which have been made in this response and which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action and allowance of the present application and all the claims therein are respectfully requested and now believed to be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,
Edward Clarke



Andrew M. Calderon
Registration No. 38,093

Robert W. Mueller
Reg. No. 35,043

Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.
1950 Roland Clarke Place
Reston, Virginia 20191
Telephone: 703-716-1191
Facsimile: 703-716-1180